

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

(Application Serial Number) I hereby declare that all stateme	(Day/Month/Year Filed) ents made herein of my own knowledge a	(Status-Patented, Fare true and that all statements ma		
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented, F		
between the filing date of the prior app	lication(s) and the national or PCT inte	manonai ming date of this appl	ication:	
	known to me to be material to patentab			11160
	s) in the manner provided by the first pa			
•	ca listed below and, insofar as the subjection			
	er 35 U.S.C. §120 of any United States			
(Application Serial Number)				
		(Day/Month/Year Filed)		
(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)		
I hereby claim the benefit und	er 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of any United Star		ted below:	
(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
		(Day/Month/Von Filed)	□ Voc	
(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
			Priority Clair	med
having a filing date before that of the ap	pplication(s) of which priority is claime	ed:		
application(s) designating at least one	country other than the United States of	America filed by me on the sar	ne subject ma	atter
below and have also identified below				
I hereby claim foreign priority certificate or of any PCT international ap	y benefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of an opplication(s) designating at least one cou			
information known to me to be materia	l to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.F.		' .	
amended by any amendment(s) referre			lemark Office	e all
I hereby state that I have reviewed and				
Application No on				
No and was ame				
ARTICLES," the specification of which				
invention entitled "METHOD OF M				
joint inventor (if plural names are listed				
As a below named inventor, I hence to my name; I believe that I am the	nereby declare that my residence, post of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		' '.		_

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I hereb point as my attorneys, with full powers of subsection and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith:

Alvin D. Shulman (19,412) Allen H. Gerstein (22,218) Nate F. Scarpelli (22,320) Edward M. O'Toole (22,477) Michael F. Borun (25,447) Trevor B. Joike (25,542) Carl E. Moore, Jr. (26,487) Richard H. Anderson (26,526) Patrick D. Ertel (26,877) James P. Zeller (28,491) William E. McCracken (30,195) Richard A. Schnurr (30,890) Anthony Nimmo (30,920) Christine A. Dudzik (31,245) Jeffrey S. Sharp (31,879)
Martin J. Hirsch (32,237)
James J. Napoli (32,361)
Richard M. La Barge (32,254)
Li-Hsien Rin-Laures, M.D. (33,547)
Douglass C. Hochstetler (33,710)
Robert M. Gerstein (34,824)

David W. Clough (36,107) Richard A. Brandon (37,051) James A. Flight (37,622) Roger A. Heppermann (37,641) David A. Gass (38,153) Gregory C. Mayer (38,238)

Send correspondence to: James J. Napoli

FIRM NAME

PHONE NO.

STREET

CITY & STATE

ZIP CODE

Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein, Murray & Borun

312-474-6300

6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois

60606-6402

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor	Citizenship	
Graciela Wild Padua	United States of America	
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street	
2103 Doubletree Lane	2103 Doubletree Lane	
City (Zip)	City (Zip)	
Champaign (61822)	Champaign (61822)	-
State or Country	State or Country	
Illinois	Illinois	
Date	Signature	
⊠	⊠	

Second Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship	
Andrianaivo Maherisoa Rakotonirainy	Madagascar	
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street	
P.O. Box 3341	P.O. Box 3341	
City (Zip)	City (Zip)	
Antananarivo 101	Antananarivo 101	
State or Country	State or Country	
Madagascar	Madagascar	
Date	Signature	
⊠	` '	

Third Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship
Toan Thanh Ha	Vietnam
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
Department of Food Technology	Department of Food Technology
College of Agriculture, Can Tho University	College of Agriculture, Can Tho University
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
Can Tho	Can Tho
State or Country	State or Country
Vietnam	Vietnam
Date Sune 21, 2000	Signature Voanut TOAN THANH HA

Fourth Joint Inventor; if any	Citizenship
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
State or Country	State or Country
Date ⊠	Signature ⊠

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I herel		•	•
next to my name; I believe that I am the orig			
joint inventor (if plural names are listed be			
invention entitled "METHOD OF MAN			
ARTICLES," the specification of which (cl			
No and was amended	d on	_ (if applicable); \square was filed as	PCT International
Application No on	and was amended under Artic	le 19 on	(if applicable).
I hereby state that I have reviewed and und			
amended by any amendment(s) referred to			
information known to me to be material to		t e	•
I hereby claim foreign priority be	mefits under 35 U.S.C. §119 of a	any foreign application(s) for pa	atent or inventor's
certificate or of any PCT international applic	cation(s) designating at least one co	ountry other than the United States	of America listed
below and have also identified below any	foreign application(s) for patent	or inventor's certificate or any	PCT international
application(s) designating at least one coun			
having a filing date before that of the applic			
		*	Priority Claimed
	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	☐ ☐ Yes No
(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month Feat 1 100)	103 110
			0 0
(Application Serial Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes No
		talanat anntiadhan (A) th	
I hereby claim the benefit under 35	S U.S.C. §119(e) of any United St	ates provisional application(s) lis	ned below:
(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
		·	
(Application Serial Number)		(Day/Month/Year Filed)	
I hereby claim the benefit under 35	5 U.S.C. §120 of any United State	es application(s) or PCT internation	onal application(s)
designating the United States of America li	sted below and, insofar as the sub	ject matter of each of the claims	of this application
is not disclosed in the prior application(s) in	the manner provided by the first p	oaragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112, I ack	nowledge the duty
to disclose to the Office all information kno	own to me to be material to patenta	ability as defined in 37 C.F.R. §1.	56 which occurred
between the filing date of the prior applicate	tion(s) and the national or PCT in	ternational filing date of this app	lication:
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented,	Pending or Abandoned)
(Application Serial Number)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	(Status-Patented,	Pending or Abandoned)

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. §1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.





37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

(2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or

(c) he has abandoned the invention, or

(d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or

(f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or

(g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

POWER OF ATTORNEY: I herel point as my attorneys, with full powers of subjection and revocation, to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected there with:

Alvin D. Shulman (19,412) Allen H. Gerstein (22,218) Nate F. Scarpelli (22,320) Edward M. O'Toole (22,477) Michael F. Borun (25,447) Trevor B. Joike (25,542) Carl E. Moore, Jr. (26,487) Richard H. Anderson (26,526)
Patrick D. Ertel (26,877)
James P. Zeller (28,491)
William E. McCracken (30,195)
Richard A. Schnurr (30,890)
Anthony Nimmo (30,920)
Christine A. Dudzik (31,245)

Jeffrey S. Sharp (31,879)
Martin J. Hirsch (32,237)
James J. Napoli (32,361)
Richard M. La Barge (32,254)
Li-Hsien Rin-Laures, M.D. (33,547)
Douglass C. Hochstetler (33,710)
Robert M. Gerstein (34,824)

David W. Clough (36,107) Richard A. Brandon (37,051) James A. Flight (37,622) Roger A. Heppermann (37,641) David A. Gass (38,153) Gregory C. Mayer (38,238)

Send correspondence to: James J. Napoli

FIRM NAME

PHONE NO.

STREET

CITY & STATE

ZIP CODE

Marshall, O'Toole, Gerstein, Murray & Borun

n 312-474-6300

6300 Sears Tower 233 South Wacker Drive

Chicago, Illinois

60606-6402

Full Name of First or Sole Inventor	· Citizenship
Graciela Wild Padua	United States of America
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
2103 Doubletree Lane	2103 Doubletree Lane
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
Champaign (61822)	Champaign (61822)
State or Country	State or Country
Illinois	Illinois
Date	Signature
12, 2000	Braula W John

Second Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship	
Andrianaivo Maherisoa Rakotonirainy	Madagascar	
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street	
P.O. Box 3341	P.O. Box 3341	
City (Zip)	City (Zip)	
Antananarivo 101	Antananarivo 101	
State or Country	State or Country	
Madagascar	Madagascar	
Date	Signature Mil 1 th - mile	
8 12 MAY 2000	Signature MV aletourain	

Third Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship
Toan Thanh Ha	Vietnam
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
Department of Food Technology	Department of Food Technology
College of Agriculture, Can Tho University	College of Agriculture, Can Tho University
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
Can Tho	Can Tho
State or Country	State or Country
Vietnam	Vietnam
Date	Signature
⊠	

Fourth Joint Inventor, if any	Citizenship
Residence Address - Street	Post Office Address - Street
City (Zip)	City (Zip)
State or Country	State or Country
Date ⊠	Signature ⊠

APPLICABLE RULES AND STATUTES

37 CFR 1.56. DUTY OF DISCLOSURE - INFORMATION MATERIAL TO PATENTABILITY (Applicable Portion)

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

(1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and

the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentability defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

Information relating to the following factual situations enumerated in 35 USC 102 and 103 may be considered material under 37 CFR 1.56(a).

35 U.S.C. 102. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY: NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of the application for patent in the United States, or
 - (c) he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject of an inventor's certificate, by the applicant or his legal representatives or assigns in a foreign country prior to the date of the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the application in the United States, or
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraph (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
 - (f) he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- (g) before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining priority of invention there shall be considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to conceive and last to reduce to practice, from a time prior to conception by the other.

35 U.S.C. 103. CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER (Applicable Portion)

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

35 U.S.C. 112. SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re U.S. Patent Application)		I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as FIRST-CLASS mail				
Applicant:	Padua et al.)	in an envelope a for Patents, Was	ddressed to:	Assis	tant Com	missioner
Serial No.	09/563,881	Nev. Zezooz	as	6.	R_	
•)	Date	Registratio	n No.	43,07	y
Filed:	May 3, 2000) F-CLASS.WCM Appr. February 20, 1998		Attorney for Applicant		
For:	METHOD OF)	::			many to a	
	MANUFACTURING ()				TET	
	IMPROVED CORN ZEIN)	500	THE PERSON	ميند. رياندين اب	_ ·	
•	RESIN FILMS, SHEETS,)		NELL		\overline{M}	2
	AND ARTICLES)	NOV .	21 2000			
Art Unit:	1732)	SHEER, BURN	VS & CHAIN	2		•
Examiner:	Harris, Adam R.		-	,	J	•

REVOCATION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY AND APPOINTMENT OF NEW POWER OF ATTORNEY

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

The undersigned, assignee of the entire interest in and to the patent is The Board of Trustees of the University of Illinois. The assignee hereby revokes all former Powers of Attorney and appoints the following Attorneys, all members of GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD., Suite 2500, 300 South Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60606, as its attorney in the matter of the above-identified patent, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute said patent including the prosecution or defense of any oppositions, to make alterations and amendments therein, to receive the registration, and to transact all

business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith: Roger D. Greer, Patrick G. Burns, Lawrence J. Crain, Steven P. Fallon, Paul G. Juettner, James K. Folker, Carole Mickelson, Grace Law, Josh C. Snider, Brittany C. MacDonald, Arik B. Ranson, Thomas R. Fitzsimons, and Joseph P. Fox.

Please direct all future communications regarding the above-identified patent

Steven P. Fallon, Esq. Greer, Burns & Crain, Ltd. 300 South Wacker Drive – Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60606

Tel.: (312) 360-0080 Fax: (312) 360-9315

Date: November 13,2001

to:

Stephen K. Rugg

Vice President for Administration,

Comptroller